PATENT COOPERATION TREATY DCT

REC'D	1	9 _	IUL	2005
-------	---	-----	-----	------

PO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

				
Applicant's or agent's file reference 20302332/SJ	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).		
International Application No.	International Filing Dat (day/month/year)	Priority Date (day/month/year)		
PCT/SG2003/000222	19 September 2003		19 September 2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or a	nternational Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC			
Int. Cl. 7 H01L 21/4763, 21/285, 23/36, 23/367, 23/373, 31/024, 31/052, 31/18, H01S 5/024				
Applicant TINGGI TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE LIMITED et al				
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3	sheets, including this co	over sheet.	•	
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
These annexes consist of a total of sheet(s).				
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
I X Basis of the report				
II Priority				
III Non-establishment of op	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of invention	IV Lack of unity of invention			
	V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
· VI Certain documents cited	VI Certain documents cited			
VII Certain defects in the int	Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application			
VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of the report				
14 June 2005 11 July 2005		·		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer		
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE				
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au LYNN BLOO			TIELD	
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02) 6283 2851		
		•		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SG2003/000222

T-			
I.	7777	Basis of the report	<u>.</u>
1.		the international application:*	
	X		
		the description, pages, as originally filed,	
	•	pages, filed with the demand,	
		pages, received on with the letter of	
		the claims, pages, as originally filed,	
		pages , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	9.
		pages, filed with the demand,	,
		pages, received on with the letter of	
	. [_]	the drawings, pages, as originally filed,	
		pages , filed with the demand,	
		pages, received on with the letter of	
		the sequence listing part of the description:	•
		pages , as originally filed	
		pages , filed with the demand	
		pages, received on with the letter of	
2.	******	th regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to the chief the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which the language of a translation of the language which the language of a translation of the language of the lang	ich is:
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under R	Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary enaction and/or 55.3).	
3.	With	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international appreliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	plication, the international
		contained in the international application in written form.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	•
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyo international application as filed has been furnished.	and the disclosure in the
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the been furnished	e written sequence listing has
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	•
		the description, pages	
		the claims, Nos.	
	_	the drawings, sheets/fig.	
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, sing go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).*	ce they have been considered to
*	Repl	placement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation and	day Article 14 and referred to in this
**		ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (I y replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to t	Rules 70.16 and 70.17).
			inis report

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SG2003/000222

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
----	---

1.	Statement		
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-51	YES
		Claims	. NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1 – 51	YES
		Claims	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 – 51	YES
<u>.</u>		Claims .	NO

^{2.} Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Novelty (N), Inventive Step (IS)

All the documents cited in the ISR were category A only. Therefore the claimed invention is not disclosed in any of these patent documents and hence all the claims are novel.